

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,789	11/24/2003	Kazunori Hagimoto	SUG-176-USAP	1591
28892	7590 07/19/2006		EXAMINER	
SNIDER & ASSOCIATES			WEISS, HOWARD	
P. O. BOX 27 WASHINGT	7613 ON, DC 20038-7613		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0, 20 20000		2814	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Cummons	10/718,789	HAGIMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Howard Weiss	2814			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	ne 2006				
	action is non-final.				
·—		secution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	reparts quayro, roos ers. (i)				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,2,5-13,21,23-31,33-38,49,50,63-66,68 and 81-88 (s/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-13,21,23-31,33-38,49,50,63-66,68 and 81-88</u> Nare rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of 10/11/1 10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/718,789

Art Unit: 2814

Attorney's Docket Number: SUG-176-USAP

Filing Date: 11/24/03 Continuing Data: none

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: 11/28/2002, 12/25/2002, 1/31/2003, 8/29/2003

Applicant(s): Hagimoto et al. (Noto)

**Examiner: Howard Weiss** 

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear if the compound semiconductor layer, device substrate and Ag-base metal layer are the same as those in Claim 1 from which Claim 10 depends.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 2, 5 to 13, 21, 23 to 31, 33 to 38, 49, 50, 63 to 66, 68 and 81 to 88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasutimi et al. (JP 2001-339100), Carter-Coman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,222,207), Gee et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,969,874) and Murasato et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,744,829).

Yasutimi et al. show most aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figure 2) including:

Art Unit: 2814

- ➤ A compound semiconductor layer 4 including a light-emitting layer consisting of N-type AlGaInP cladding layer 41, an AlGaInP active layer 42 and a P-type AlGaInP cladding layer 43 and a light extraction surface 44 and sensitive to the wavelength ranges listed
- > A silicon device substrate 2 bonded to said compound semiconductor layer
- A multilayered metal reflective layer 3

Yasutimi et al. do not show the metal reflective layer being Pd/Ag alloy or Ag, Ru, Rh, Re, Os, Ir and Pt based, an Ag-based contact layer as claimed and a silicon-diffusion-blocking layer of the composition claimed and the layers in the light-emitting layer to be explicitly composed of  $(Al_xGa_{1-x})_yIn_{1-y}P$  where  $0 \le x \le 1$  and  $1 \le y \le 1$  (Here  $1 \le y \le 1$  is taken to mean y=1).

Carter-Coman et al. teach (e.g. Figures 2) to make a metal reflective layer **34** Agbased, a contact layer **32** and a silicon-diffusion-blocking layer **36** to produce an light emitting device with high reflectivity after subjected to high temperatures (Column 2 Lines 23 to 34). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make a metal reflective layer Ag-based, a contact layer and a silicon-diffusion-blocking layer as taught by Carter-Coman et al. in the device of Yasutimi et al. to produce an light emitting device with high reflectivity after subjected to high temperatures.

Gee et al. teach (e.g. Figures 3 and 4) to make ohmic contacts 20, reflective layers 24 and diffusion barriers of Pd/Ag alloy or Ag, Ru, Rh, Re, Os, Ir and Pt based (Column 4 Lines 36 to Column 38) to provide a low resistance, good optical reflectance, good adhesion and to control unwanted diffusion during annealing (Column 4 Line55 to Column 5 Line 1). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make ohmic contacts, reflective layers and diffusion barriers of Pd/Ag alloy or Ag, Ru, Rh, Re, Os, Ir and Pt based as taught by Gee et al. in the device of Yasutimi et al. to provide a low resistance, good

Art Unit: 2814

optical reflectance, good adhesion and to control unwanted diffusion during annealing.

Murasato et al. teach (e.g. Figure 1 and Column 3 Lines 55 to 63 and Column) to use double hetero-structure  $(Al_xGa_{1-x})_yIn_{1-y}P$  where  $0\le x\le 1$  and  $1\le y\le 1$  in cladding layers 5,7 and active layer 6 to provide a high brightness, low operating voltage and high reliability device (Column 2 Lines 65 to 67). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use double hetero-structure  $(Al_xGa_{1-x})_yIn_{1-y}P$  where  $0\le x\le 1$  and  $1\le y\le 1$  in cladding layers and active layer as taught by Murasato et al. in the device of Yasutimi et al. to provide a high brightness, low operating voltage and high reliability device.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/2/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In this case, when the metal reflective layer Ag-based, the contact layer and the silicon-diffusion-blocking layer taught by Carter-Coman et al., the ohmic contacts, the reflective layers and the diffusion barriers of Pd/Ag alloy or Ag, Ru, Rh, Re, Os, Ir and Pt based taught by Gee et al. and the use of the double hetero-structure (Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>)<sub>y</sub>In<sub>1-y</sub>P where 0≤x≤1 and 1≤y≤1 in cladding layers and active layer as taught by Murasato et al. are combined with the device of Yasutimi et al., the claimed invention is rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

In view of these reasons and those set forth in the present office action, the rejections of the stated claims stand.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,789

Art Unit: 2814

## Conclusion

Page 5

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
- 8. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications.

Art Unit: 2814

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Weiss at (571) 272-1720 and between the hours of 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via <a href="mailto:Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov">Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov</a>. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on (571) 272-1705.
- 10. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field of Search	Date
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 257/ 96, 98	thru 7/11/2006
Other Documentation: none	
Electronic Database(s): EAST, IEL, PAJ, IP	thru 7/11/2006

HW/hw 11 July 2006 Howard Weiss Primary Examiner Art Unit 2814